

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/022,594	12/20/2001	Satoshi Kamiya	396290/00 6179		
21254	7590 06/19/2006		EXAMINER		
	NTELLECTUAL PRO	HOM, SHICK C			
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 200 VIENNA, VA 22182-3817			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2616		
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-
w
•
- 11
v

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/022,594	KAMIYA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Shick C. Hom	2616	

Advisory Action	10/022,594	MAIVITA ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shick C. Hom	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with th	correspondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 12 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		·				
 The reply was filed aft r a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complication following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replient of the final rejection.	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C y must be filed within e final rejection, whicheve	ence, which CFR 41.31; or one of the			
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	RST REPLY WAS FILED				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on the been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened star above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, man	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) y reduce any			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expenses a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	f the appeal.			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 	.,		the issues for			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, 3	,				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al the non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	•		nce because:			
12. Not the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding claims 1 and 27, Applicant argues that the cited references of differ from the claimed invention in regard to the claimed "target area". Specifically, Applicant argues that the target area for the cited references corresponds to the entire payload area of the frame (GFP frame or HDLC packet), whereas the target area of the claimed invention corresponds to the payload (field) part without the payload header. However, what is claimed is "an FCS (Frame Check Sequence) using a payload field of said GFP frame as a generation target area". This claim language does not indicate a payload field without a payload header. Thus, it is held that Scarmalis (U.S. 6,134,245) in view of Hernandez-Valencia (GFP Specification) teaches this limitation for the reasons indicated in the previous Offic Action.

Seema S. Rao SEEMA S. RAO 6/13/06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600